

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,289	08/28/2003	Bradley D. Schweigert	KMC-598	6682
39915	7590 10/27/2006		EXAM	INER
	KARSTEN MANUFACTURING CORPORATION HUNTER, ALVIN A LEGAL DEPARTMENT		ALVIN A	
2201 WEST DESERT COVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHOENIX, AZ 85029		3711 .	<u>. </u>	

DATE MAILED: 10/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/650,289	SCHWEIGERT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alvin A. Hunter	3711			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 A	lugust 2006.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1,2,5,7 and 16 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,5,7 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/25/06. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/650,289

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 7, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification and drawings enable the top surface to be concave but does not enable the sole to be convex.

Because of such claims 1, 2, 5, 7, and 16 are rejected. For examination purposes, the sole of claim 1 will be interpreted as being shown in the drawings (concave). Further, the specification and drawings do not enable the acute angle created by the shaft and the horizontal reference to be 75 degrees. For examination purposes, claim 16 will be interpreted as just having an acute angle from by the shaft and the horizontal reference.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 7, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

See the above regarding 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph.

Application/Control Number: 10/650,289

Art Unit: 3711

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 5, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin (USPN 5795239) in view of Wahl et al. (US 2004/0038746).

Regarding claim 1, Lin shows the structure being claimed by the applicant being known in the art (See Figure 1). Lin does not show the top surface having a radius of curvature greater than the radius of curvature of the sole. Wahl et al. discloses a putter having a toe thickness greater than the heel thickness wherein it appears that the radius of curvature of the top portion is greater than that of the sole (See Figures 1 and 2). Wahl et al. is geared to altering the weighting and inertia of the putter. Further the structure of Wahl et al. is substantially similar to that of prior art shown by Lin. Therefore, one having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to have the top surface of a greater radius of curvature than the sole in order to adjust the weight and inertia of the putter. The orientation claimed by the applicant would be met by the combination being that the orientation is dependent on how the club head is held by the user.

Regarding claim 2, Lin shows in Figure 1 the shaft connected substantially midway between the heel and toe.

Application/Control Number: 10/650,289

Art Unit: 3711

Regarding claim 5, Lin does not explicitly disclose a toe up factor. Wahl et al. shows the toe being of a height H and the heel being smaller than the toe. Based on the information of Wahl et al., the toe up factor would be of a range of at least 1.00 being that the toe thickness encompasses that of the heel thickness. Further, Wahl et al. notes that the toe region should provide at least about 35% of the putter head's mass (See Paragraph 0028). One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to have the toe-up factor of any value greater than 1 so long as the mass requirements of the putter head are met.

Regarding claim 16, Lin shows the shaft and horizontal reference making an acute angle.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 5, 7, and 16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/650,289 Page 5

Art Unit: 3711

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin A. Hunter whose telephone number is (571) 272-4411. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30AM to 4:00PM Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Kim, can be reached on 571-272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alvin A. Hunter, Jr.

EUGENE KIM
EDBY PATENT EXAMINER